

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,800	02/21/2004	Francis J. McCabe	5532-20244	7190
27331 7.	590 07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
BENASUTTI, P.A.			WINNER, TONY H	
17294 BERMU BOCA RATON	JDA VILLAGE DRIVE N. FL 33487		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/783,800	MCCABE, FRANCIS J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tony H. Winner	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ap	oril 2006.				
, ===	action is non-final.				
·	·				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or e					
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/783,800

Art Unit: 3611

Acknowledgment

1. Receipt of the amendment filed 4/21/06 has been acknowledged and entered. New claims 25-27 have been added. In light of the amendment, the claimed inventions are now patentable distinct from each other and therefore, another restriction/election is required.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.121:
 - Claims 1-4, 13-27 are drawn to a windmill apparatus, classified in class 417, subclass 334.
 - II. Claims 5-12 are drawn to an air vehicle propelled by a windmill apparatus, classified in class 180, subclass 7.4.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/783,800

Art Unit: 3611

because it does not require all the blades to be mounted to a single hub. The subcombination has separate utility such as wind generator to harvest electricity.

- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Species I is identified in Figure 1.
- b. Species II (additional 3rd blade) is identified in Figure 1 and specification page 7, 4th line from the bottom.
 - c. Species III (stiffener) is identified in Figures 6-7.
 - d. Species IV (staggered stiffener) is identified in Figure 9.
 - e. Species V is identified in Figure 12.
- f. Species VI (additional propeller) is identified in Figure 12 and specification page 11 lines 8-9.
- g. Species VII (additional braces) is identified in Figure 12 and specification page 9 lines 15-16.
 - h. Species VIII is identified in Figures 14-16.
- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed **invention and species** for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

 An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3611

- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. A telephone call is normally made prior to sending-out a written election requirement. However, per Section 812.01 of the MPEP, a telephone call is not required if the species election is considered complex, as is the case for this Instant Application.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Anthony H. Winner whose telephone number is (571) 272-6654. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 3611

12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-6584.

TONYWINNER
PATENT EXAMINER

June 27, 2006